

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION

No. 2:12-md-02323-AB
MDL No. 2323

Kevin Turner and Shawn Wooden, on behalf
of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

National Football League and NFL Properties, LLC,
successor-in-interest to NFL Properties, Inc.,

Defendants.

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Pro Athlete Law Firm, P.A.

v.

SPID 100003359 (H.C.)

Attorney Lien Dispute

Case No. 02023

EXPLANATION AND ORDER

DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

January 26, 2023

Before the Court is a Notice of Attorney's Lien ("Lien") and a Petition to Establish Attorney's Lien seeking attorney's fees and costs from any Monetary Claim to be paid to SPID 100003359 (H.C.) (the "SCM"), who was issued a Notice of Monetary Award Claim Determination on September 27, 2022. The lien was filed in May 2022 by Pro Athlete Law Firm ("Pro"). The SCM is currently represented by Shenaq PC ("Shenaq").

The District Court referred all Petitions for individual attorney's liens to this Magistrate Judge. (Doc. No. 7746.) Rules Governing Attorneys' Liens ("Rules") were adopted on March 6, 2018 and amended on October 3, 2018. (Doc. Nos. 9760 and 10283.) Pursuant to Rule 12, Pro

and Shenaq (the “Parties”) were advised of their right to consent to have the Magistrate Judge issue a final Order to resolve this dispute. The Parties have so consented. Accordingly, this Explanation and Order will serve as the final decision of the Court concerning this attorney lien dispute.¹

On October 28 and November 2, 2022, the Parties submitted a Withdrawal of Attorney’s Lien Dispute form pursuant to Rule 24 (the “Withdrawal”). The Withdrawal sets out an agreement that has been reached as to the portion of the SCM’s Monetary Award that each firm would receive as its fee from funds currently available for distribution, as well as how to allocate any portion of the 5% holdback that may be released in the future. The agreement was negotiated by parties that presumably are informed and concerned about their own interest.

Upon review of the Withdrawal and the contingency fee agreements, and in consideration of the Presumptive Fee Cap (Doc. No. 9863), we conclude that the fee to be paid to the firms is reasonable, does not exceed the percentage for which the SCM contracted, and does not exceed the percentage allowed under the 22% Presumptive Fee Cap. In addition, the costs sought by the firms are reasonable and recoverable under the fee agreements. Accordingly, we conclude that the Withdrawal will be granted and that the Claims Administrator is directed to distribute the attorneys’ fees and costs to the Parties as set forth in the Withdrawal.²

¹ This decision does not concern the propriety of the Monetary Award to the SCM, which has already been authorized. Rather, it addresses only the allocation of the portion of the SCM’s Monetary Award that was set aside by the Claims Administrator for fees and costs of the SCM’s individually-retained counsel. It is the SCM’s discharge of an attorney he retained to represent him in this matter, and the filing of an attorney’s lien by prior counsel, that has created the need for this judicial determination of the appropriate fee.

² Pursuant to the Court’s Order Regarding Withholdings for Common Benefit Fund (Doc. No. 10104), 5% of the Award is currently being held in the Attorney’s Fees Qualified Settlement Fund, where it must remain until further order of the Court. The Claims Administrator will ensure that if any portion of those funds are later released they will be paid pursuant to the agreed terms in the

AND NOW, this 26th day of January, 2023, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Withdrawal of the Lien Dispute is **GRANTED**; and
2. The Claims Administrator is **ORDERED** to distribute the withheld funds in accordance with this decision, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, and all Court Orders regarding implementation.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ David R. Strawbridge, USMJ
DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Withdrawal.